

Decision for dispute CAC-UDRP-107795

Case number	CAC-UDRP-107795
Time of filing	2025-07-30 10:03:02
Domain names	sainti-gobain.com

Case administrator

Name Olga Dvořáková (Case admin)

Complainant

Organization COMPAGNIE DE SAINT-GOBAIN

Complainant representative

Organization NAMESHIELD S.A.S.

Respondent

Name Ricardo Mellenios

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Panel is not aware of any other legal proceedings which are pending or decided and which relate to the disputed domain name.


IDENTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The Complainant has proved to own the following trademark rights, inter alia:

International trademark SAINT GOBAIN n°740184 registered on July 26, 2000,

and duly renewed;

- International trademark SAINT GOBAIN n°740183 registered on July 26, 2000, and duly renewed;
- International trademark SAINT GOBAIN n°596735 registered on November 2, 1992, and duly renewed;
- International trademark SAINT GOBAIN n°551682 registered on July 21, 1989, duly renewed.



PRINCIPAL REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Identity (paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy)

The Panel finds that the disputed domain name <sainti-gobain.com> is confusingly similar to the Complainant's Saint-Gobain trademarks.

Indeed, the complainant's SAINT-GOBAIN trademark is incorporated in the disputed domain name in its entirety. The only difference is

based on the "I" after the word "Saint" which does not reduce the likelihood of confusion.

Moreover, the similarity between the disputed domain name and the complainant's domain is obvious.

Thus, the Panel finds that the disputed domain name is confusingly similar to Complainant's trademarks.

Absence of Rights or Legitimate Interests (paragraph 4(a)(ii) of the Policy)

The Complainant asserted that the Respondent has never been granted a license, or in any other way been authorized, in order to register the disputed domain name. In addition, the Respondent never sought the consent of the Complainant in order to register the aforementioned domain name. Consequently, the Panel finds that the Respondent lacks any right or legitimate interest in using the disputed domain name.

The Complainant also highlighted that the disputed domain name resolves to a parking page with commercial links. Therefore, the Panel finds that the purpose of offering sponsored links does not qualify as a bona fide use. The Respondent did not intend to use the disputed domain name in connection with any legitimate purpose.

Finally, the Respondent had the opportunity to provide its arguments in support of its rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain name. However, by failing to file a response, the Respondent has missed this opportunity and the Panel is entitled to draw such inferences from the Respondent's failure as it considers appropriate in accordance with Paragraph 14 of the Rules.

Accordingly, the Panel finds that the Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain name.

Bad faith (paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy.)

In light of the records, the Complainant showed that the disputed domain name is consequently similar to the well-known SAINT-GOBAIN trademark. The Panel finds that the Respondent cannot reasonably pretend he was intending to develop a legitimate activity through the disputed domain name. Arguably, the Respondent registered said domain name knowing that the trademark benefited from a worldwide reputation. Moreover, the time of the registration, namely July 2025, is well posterior to the registration of SAINT-GOBAIN trademarks.

Therefore, it is clear to the Panel that the Respondent was well aware of the SAINT-GOBAIN trademarks and has registered the dispute domain name with the intention to refer to the Complainant and to its trademarks.

Furthermore, it seems that the Respondent has registered the dispute domain name in bad faith for the sole purpose of attracting Internet users for commercial gain, by creating a likelihood of confusion with the Complainant's trademarks. In fact, the disputed domain name resolves to a parking page with commercial links. Accordingly, the Panel finds that the disputed domain name was registered and is being used in bad faith.

To the Panel's opinion, this shows that the disputed domain name was registered in bad faith.

FOR ALL THE REASONS STATED ABOVE, THE COMPLAINT IS

Accepted

1. sainti-gobain.com: Transferred

PANELLISTS

Name Nathalie Dreyfus

DATE OF PANEL DECISION 2025-09-01

Publish the Decision