Decision for dispute CAC-UDRP-108136

Case number	CAC-UDRP-108136
Time of filing	2025-11-07 10:06:49
Domain names	oldschoolrunescape.shop, runescapemerch.shop, runescapedragonwilds.shop, runescapemerch.com

Case administrator

Organization	Iveta Špiclová (Czech Arbitration Court) (Case admin)

Complainant

Organization	Jagex Limited

Complainant representative

Organization	Stobbs IP

Respondents

Name	Le Van Truong
Name	Luong Van Thanh
Name	Bui Van Hieu
Name	Do Chung

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Panel is not aware of any other legal proceedings which are pending or decided and which relate to the disputed domain names.

IDENTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

Notably : RUNESCAPE (word mark), registered in the United Kingdom since 27 December 2002 ; RS OLD SCHOOL (word mark), registered in the United Kingdom since 11 February 2022

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Complaint concerns four domain names, namely <oldschoolrunescape.shop>, <runescapemerch.shop>, <runescapemerch.com>, and <runescapedragonwilds.shop>, which were registered between 2023 and 2025.

The disputed domain names resolve to websites offering merchandise and reproducing the Complainant’s trade mark, game names

and logos, thereby creating the impression of an official website or an affiliation with the Complainant.

PARTIES CONTENTIONS

No administratively compliant Response has been filed.

RIGHTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain names are identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy).

NO RIGHTS OR LEGITIMATE INTERESTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the Respondent to have no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain names (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(ii) of the Policy).

BAD FAITH

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain names have been registered and are being used in bad faith (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy).

PROCEDURAL FACTORS

The Panel is satisfied that all procedural requirements under UDRP were met and there is no other reason why it would be inappropriate to provide a decision.

Consolidation of the proceedings:

Consolidation is granted due to the fact that the Complainant and the Respondent are the same for all domain names, and the pattern of conduct is also identical.

PRINCIPAL REASONS FOR THE DECISION

FIRST CONDITION

The Complainant has established prior rights in several registered trade marks, in particular the word mark RUNESCAPE, registered since 27 December 2002, as well as RS OLD SCHOOL and RUNESCAPE: DRAGONWILDS.

The four disputed domain names, namely <oldschoolrunescape.shop>, <runescapemerch.shop>, <runescapemerch.com>, and <runescapedragonwilds.shop>, incorporate the trade mark RUNESCAPE, or its dominant and distinctive elements, in their entirety, with the addition of descriptive or non-distinctive terms, or with the omission of minor punctuation.

Such additions or minor modifications are insufficient to dispel confusing similarity. The generic Top-Level Domains “.shop” and “.com” are disregarded for the purpose of this assessment.

Accordingly, the Panel finds that each of the disputed domain names is identical or confusingly similar to a trade mark in which the Complainant has rights.

SECOND CONDITION

The disputed domain names resolve to websites which reproduce the Complainant’s trade marks, game names and logos, and present themselves in a manner creating the impression of an official website or an affiliation with the Complainant. As shown by the evidence submitted with the Complaint and reviewed by the Panel, some of the websites are used to offer merchandise for sale under the RUNESCAPE brand, without authorisation.

Such use does not constitute a bona fide offering of goods or services under the Policy, nor does it amount to a legitimate non-commercial or fair use. On the contrary, the use of the disputed domain names is clearly intended to take unfair advantage of the Complainant's trade marks and to mislead Internet users as to source, sponsorship or affiliation.

The Complainant has established a prima facie case that the Respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain names. In the absence of any credible rebuttal by the Respondent, the Panel finds that this requirement of the Policy is satisfied.

THIRD CONDITION

The Complainant's RUNESCAPE trade mark had been registered and used for many years prior to the registration of the disputed domain names. Moreover, the Respondent's websites reproduce the Complainant's trade mark, game names and logos, and are used to offer merchandise that directly refers to the Complainant's games. This conduct demonstrates that the Respondent was aware of the Complainant and its trade mark rights at the time the disputed domain names were registered.

The Respondent has registered multiple domain names incorporating the Complainant's trade mark. This conduct supports the conclusion that the disputed domain names were deliberately chosen in order to target the Complainant's trade mark.

The disputed domain names are used to resolve to websites that create the impression of an official website or an affiliation with the Complainant, while offering merchandise for commercial gain. The Panel has reviewed the evidence submitted in this regard.

By using the disputed domain names in this manner, the Respondent has sought to attract Internet users for commercial gain by creating a likelihood of confusion with the Complainant's trade mark as to source, sponsorship or affiliation.

FOR ALL THE REASONS STATED ABOVE, THE COMPLAINT IS

Accepted

AND THE DISPUTED DOMAIN NAME(S) IS (ARE) TO BE

1. **oldschoolrunescape.shop**: Transferred
2. **runescapemerch.shop**: Transferred
3. **runescapedragonwilds.shop**: Transferred
4. **runescapemerch.com**: Transferred

PANELLISTS

Name	**Mr. Etienne Wéry**

DATE OF PANEL DECISION 2025-12-19

Publish the Decision