

Decision for dispute CAC-UDRP-108578

Case number	CAC-UDRP-108578
Time of filing	2026-06-11 16:06:57
Domain names	purinafancyfeast.com

Case administrator

Name	Olga Dvořáková (Case admin)
------	-----------------------------

Complainant

Organization	Société des Produits Nestlé S.A.
--------------	----------------------------------

Complainant representative

Organization	Thomsen Trampedach GmbH
--------------	-------------------------

Respondent

Name	
------	--

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Panel is not aware of any other legal proceedings which are pending or decided and which relate to the disputed domain name.

IDENTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

“PURINA”“FANCY FEAST”USPTO

- PURINA61064190735
- PURINA7724571964630808908196652422866191999101257096072019326
- PURINA ONE1408833198699165029319917922497321999612675614200311439052082011111
- FANCY FEAST106824319776217380125202457

- FANCY FEAST PETITES6411978202176
- PURINA3318171995417IDM0000513802004315IDM000877710202182
- FANCY FEASTIDM0000205522004115IDM0007056152020513
- PURINA966472200833
- PURINA81351520031023

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

20PURINAFANCY FEAST
PURINAFANCY FEASTFacebookInstagramYouTubePURINAFANCY FEAST
PURINA2025PURINA2025210
<www.purina.com>199612FANCY FEAST

2025129

PARTIES CONTENTIONS

The Complainant contends that the requirements of the Policy have been met and that the disputed domain name should be transferred to it.

No administratively compliant Response has been filed.

RIGHTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy).

4(a)(i)

1907PURINAFANCY FEASTPURINAFANCY FEASTPURINAFANCY FEAST Avast Software s.r.o. v. Milen Radumilo, CAC Case No. 1023842019312

“.com” WIPO Overview of WIPO Panel Views on Selected UDRP Questions, Third Edition“WIPO Overview 3.0”1.11

“purinafancyfeast”PURINAFANCY FEAST

For the foregoing reasons, the Panel finds the Complainant has satisfied paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy.

4(a)(i)

NO RIGHTS OR LEGITIMATE INTERESTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the Respondent to have no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain name (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(ii) of the Policy).

4(a)(ii)

UDRPprima facie PepsiCo, Inc. v. Smith power production, 102378CAC 201938

PURINAFANCY FEASTPURINAFANCY FEAST

PURINAFANCY FEAST "Purina Fancy Feast"PURINAFANCY FEAST

4(c) prima facie

For the foregoing reasons, the Panel finds the Complainant has satisfied paragraph 4(a)(ii) of the Policy.

4(a)(ii)

BAD FAITH

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy).

4(a)(iii)

PURINAFANCY FEAST

PURINAFANCY FEAST4(b)(iv)

PURINAFANCY FEAST 4(b)(iv) Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC, Sony Interactive Entertainment Inc. and Sony Interactive Entertainment Europe Ltd. v. panen grup and Shenzhen Yunnuotaifeng Tech Co., Ltd., 107313CAC 202555

For the foregoing reasons, the Panel finds the Complainant has satisfied paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy.

4(a)(iii)

PROCEDURAL FACTORS

The Panel is satisfied that all procedural requirements under UDRP were met and there is no other reason why it would be inappropriate to provide a decision.

UDRP

PRINCIPAL REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Having established all three elements required under the UDRP Policy, the Panel concludes that the disputed domain name should be transferred to the Complainant.

4

FOR ALL THE REASONS STATED ABOVE, THE COMPLAINT IS

Accepted

AND THE DISPUTED DOMAIN NAME(S) IS (ARE) TO BE

- 1. **purinafancyfeast.com**: Transferred

PANELLISTS

Name	Mr Paddy TAM
------	--------------

DATE OF PANEL DECISION 2026-07-16

Publish the Decision