

Decision for dispute CAC-UDRP-108699

Case number	CAC-UDRP-108699
-------------	-----------------

Time of filing	2026-07-21 09:28:39
----------------	---------------------

Domain names	zenpepsupport.com
--------------	-------------------

Case administrator

Organization	Iveta Špiclová (Czech Arbitration Court) (Case admin)
--------------	---

Complainant

Organization	Société des Produits Nestlé S.A.
--------------	----------------------------------

Complainant representative

Organization	Thomsen Trampedach GmbH
--------------	-------------------------

Respondent

Name	brigda klimon
------	---------------

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Panel is not aware of any other legal proceedings which are pending or decided and which relate to the disputed domain name.

IDENTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

Complainant owns a USPTO trademark registration for the trademark **ZENPEP**, registration number 3747475, registered on 9 February 2010. The disputed domain name was registered on 2 June 2026.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

N/A

PARTIES CONTENTIONS

THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant argued that the (i) disputed domain name is confusingly similar to the above trademark, (ii) the Respondent has no rights or legitimate interest in respect of such disputed domain name and (iii) the disputed domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith by the Respondent.

THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent provided no response to the Complaint within the deadline. However, on 28 August 2026 the Respondent sent a communication that the Respondent does not wish to contest the Complaint and voluntarily consents to the transfer of the domain name to the Complainant.

RIGHTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy).

NO RIGHTS OR LEGITIMATE INTERESTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the Respondent to have no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain name (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(ii) of the Policy).

BAD FAITH

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy).

PROCEDURAL FACTORS

The Panel is satisfied that all procedural requirements under UDRP were met and there is no other reason why it would be inappropriate to provide a decision.

PRINCIPAL REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Since the Respondent stated that it does not wish to contest the Complaint and consented to the transfer of the disputed domain name to the Complainant, the Panel accepts the Complaint and orders transfer of disputed domain name to the Complainant without further examination of the merits of the case.

FOR ALL THE REASONS STATED ABOVE, THE COMPLAINT IS

Accepted

AND THE DISPUTED DOMAIN NAME(S) IS (ARE) TO BE

1. **zenpepsupport.com**: Transferred

PANELLISTS

Name	Michal Matějka
------	----------------

DATE OF PANEL DECISION	2026-08-30
------------------------	------------

Publish the Decision
