Decision for dispute CAC-UDRP-109073

Case number	CAC-UDRP-109073
Time of filing	2026-10-13 16:04:41
Domain names	uyn.one

Case administrator

Name	Olga Slanařová (Case admin)

Complainant

Organization	Trerè Innovation S.r.l.

Complainant representative

Name	Avvocato Ivett Paulovics

Respondent

Name	Sang Le

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Panel is not aware of any other legal proceedings which are pending or decided and which relate to the disputed domain name.

IDENTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The Complainant owns several trademarks registered globally that incorporate the distinctive UYN sign, either alone or combined with other terms, including in particular, European Union trade mark No. 016950883 for UYN, filed on July 5, 2017, and registered on November 6, 2017 and United States trade mark No. 5567797 for UYN, registered on September 25, 2018.

The Complainant is also the owner of multiple domain names incorporating the UYN sign, including <uynsports.com>, registered on June 29, 2017 which is used as its main website to promote and sell its UYN products.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Complainant is an Italian family-owned sports clothing company focused on technically advanced apparel and footwear. In 2017, the Complainant launched its own brand of high-performance sports underwear, socks, technical apparel, accessories, and footwear under the UYN mark.

The UYN brand achieved a wholesale turnover of approximately EUR 30 million in 2022. The Complainant's functional underwear and technical apparel are marketed and sold internationally and the Complainant operates an e-commerce website for UYN products at <uynsports.com> and its products are sold through physical retailers. The Complainant has publicised its brand such as by sponsoring international sporting teams and maintains an active social media presence under the UYN brand, including

Facebook and Instagram accounts with approximately 35,000 and 73,100 followers, respectively, as of October 2026.

The disputed domain name was registered on January 25, 2025. It redirects Internet users to a commercial website associated with the domain name <lephans.com>, which is operated by the Respondent under the name "Lephans" and which promotes financial services, including loans, insurance, and real estate financing. The Respondent's name, telephone number, and postal address, as disclosed by the Registrar upon verification, correspond to those published on the destination website.

PARTIES CONTENTIONS

The Complainant contends that the requirements of the Policy have been met and that the disputed domain name should be transferred to it.
No administratively compliant Response has been filed.

RIGHTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy).

NO RIGHTS OR LEGITIMATE INTERESTS

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the Respondent to have no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain name (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(ii) of the Policy).

BAD FAITH

The Complainant has, to the satisfaction of the Panel, shown the disputed domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith (within the meaning of paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy).

PROCEDURAL FACTORS

The Panel is satisfied that all procedural requirements under UDRP were met and there is no other reason why it would be inappropriate to provide a decision.

PRINCIPAL REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Complainant has submitted that it owns registered trade mark rights for its UYN mark as set out above. It has also correctly submitted that the disputed domain name is identical to the UYN trade mark, as it incorporates the Complainant's mark in its entirety without any alteration or addition. It has also noted that the top-level domain ".one" is typically disregarded for purposes of assessing identity or confusing similarity, as it is a technical requirement of registration. (See WIPO Overview 3.1, section 1.11.1.). The Panel agrees with the Complainant that the disputed domain name is identical to the Complainant's trade mark and is wholly incorporated into the disputed domain name and therefore that the Complaint succeeds under paragraph 4(a)(i) of the Policy.

The Complainant has submitted that it has no relationship whatsoever with the Respondent and has neither granted the Respondent any rights in the UYN trade mark, nor has it ever been authorised, whether expressly or impliedly, to use the UYN trade mark, or to register or to use the disputed domain name. The Complainant has also submitted that there is no evidence that the Respondent, whether as an individual, business, or other organisation, has been commonly known by the disputed domain name, or has acquired corresponding trade mark rights. The Complainant has also noted that the disputed domain name consists exclusively of the Complainant's UYN trade mark, which creates a high risk of implied affiliation.

The disputed domain name redirects Internet users to the Respondent's own commercial website at <lephans.com>. The Complainant has asserted that it is operated under the name "Lephans" and that the business at the website provides financial services and that there is no evidence of any independent legitimate purpose for the Respondent's registration or use of a domain name identical to the Complainant's UYN trade mark and accordingly that this does not constitute a bona fide offering of goods or services, or a legitimate non-commercial or fair use of the disputed domain name within the meaning of paragraphs 4(c)(i) and 4(c)

(iii) of the Policy.

Having reviewed the available record, the Panel finds that the Complainant has established a prima facie case that the Respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain name. The Respondent has not rebutted the Complainant's prima facie showing and has not come forward with any relevant evidence demonstrating rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain name, such as those enumerated in the Policy, or otherwise. Accordingly, the Panel finds that the second element of the Policy has been established.

As far as registration and use in bad faith is concerned, the Complainant has submitted that its trade mark registrations (including United States trade mark registration number 5567797 for UYN) pre-date the registration of the disputed domain name by many years. Noting the level of distinctiveness of the coined UYN mark, that the Complainant has invested substantial resources in promoting its trade mark and has a commercial presence in the United States where the Respondent is based and that the disputed domain name incorporates no other element before the top level domain, the Panel agrees with the Complainant's assertion that it is most likely that the Respondent was well aware of the Complainant's mark when he registered the disputed domain name.

Under paragraph 4(b)(iv) of the Policy, there is evidence of registration and use of the disputed domain name in bad faith where a Respondent has used the disputed domain name to intentionally attract, for commercial gain, Internet users to its website by creating a likelihood of confusion with the Complainant's trade marks as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation or endorsement of the website.

In this case, the disputed domain name redirects Internet users to the Respondent's own commercial website at <lephans.com>, which is operated under the name "Lephans". This website promotes various types of financial services, including loans, insurance, and real estate financing and expressly discloses that Lephans may earn commissions from affiliated service providers. The Respondent's name, telephone number, and postal address, as disclosed by the Registrar, correspond to those published on the destination website.

The Panel therefore finds that the Respondent is using the disputed domain name containing the Complainant's distinctive and reputed trade mark to confuse Internet users seeking the Complainant's website and is then redirecting them to a website that promotes his own commercial activities. This amounts to conduct fulfilling the requirements of paragraph 4(b)iv of the Policy and is evidence of registration and use in bad faith. As a result, the Complaint also succeeds under the third element of the Policy.

FOR ALL THE REASONS STATED ABOVE, THE COMPLAINT IS

Accepted

AND THE DISPUTED DOMAIN NAME(S) IS (ARE) TO BE

1. **uyn.one**: Transferred

PANELLISTS

Name	**Mr Alistair Payne**

DATE OF PANEL DECISION 2026-11-18

Publish the Decision